## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Omaha District, South Dakota Regulatory Office

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** 

FILE NUMBER:			2	00530086				-"	
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:				Andy Mitzel			Date: 3/4/2005		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 3/4/2005 At the project site N (Y/N) Date:  PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:  State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed:  SITE CONDITIONS:									
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake								1000	
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X/2	X							
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non	-navigable	, intra-state	water present	and best estimat	e for size of	non-
M. A. D. ID. ID. A.				***			¥0.¥1.		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected t		ble To Make
Transport III and a ball of Carlot II and a City						to Occur	Occur	Det	ermination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?						X/3			

Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? X/3

Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? X/3

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

X/3

Preliminary

Or

Approved  $\boxtimes$ .

Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that

cross state lines?

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):The 60 acre site has historically been used for crop production, and contains 3 isolated wetland on the north & northeast corner of the property. There are 3 isolated PEM (1.21, 0.36, 0.28 acres in size) wetlands on the site. Aerial photograph (2003), NWI data, , Clay County SSURGO data/Hydric Soil list, were reviewed, and no surface hydrological connection was found, nor was the wetland adjacent to other water of the US. No known interstate commerce connection is known to exist, and there is no reasonable potential for navigation on this wetland. No jurisdictional waters of the US exist on the property.